MICHAEL J. GARCIA

United States Attorney for the

Southern District of New York

By: JOHN D. CLOPPER\*

**Assistant United States Attorney** 

86 Chambers Street

New York, New York 10007

Tel.: (212) 637-2716 Fax: (212) 637-0033

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ROXANN R. HAUS,

Plaintiff,

ECF CASE

v.

07 CV 4808 (CM) (JCF)

JOHN E. POTTER, POSTMASTER GENERAL, UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

**ANSWER TO AMENDED** 

COMPLAINT

Defendant.

Defendant John E. Potter, Postmaster General ("the Postal Service" or "Defendant"), by and through his attorney, Michael J. Garcia, United States Attorney for the Southern District of

New York, hereby answers the Amended Complaint of Plaintiff Roxann Haus as follows:

- 1. Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint sets forth Plaintiff's allegations of jurisdiction, characterization of this action and/or conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint.
- 2. Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint sets forth Plaintiff's allegations of jurisdiction to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint.

<sup>\*</sup> Authorized to represent the United States in this District.

- 3. Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint sets forth Plaintiff's allegations of venue to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.
- 4. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint.
- 5. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint, and avers that Plaintiff filed a formal discrimination complaint with the Postal Service on June 27, 2005.
- 6. Defendant denies the allegations of Paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint, and avers that the Postal Service issued a final agency decision dismissing Plaintiff's formal complaint of discrimination on July 6, 2006.
- 7. Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to when Plaintiff received the decision of the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's Office of Federal Operations ("OFO"), but avers that the decision was rendered on March 7, 2007. Defendant admits that the decision notified Plaintiff of her right to bring a civil action in a United States District Court, but denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to whether the instant complaint was filed within 90 calendar days of receipt of the decision.
- 8. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint.
- 9. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.
- With respect to the first sentence of Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint,Defendant admits that the Postal Service issued a Final Agency Decision on September 25, 2007

and respectfully refers the Court to that document for a true and accurate statement of its contents. The second sentence of Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint contains Plaintiff's characterization of her claim and conclusions of law, to which no response is required.

- 11. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.
- Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 12. truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint, except admits that Plaintiff is a female.
- 13. Defendant denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint, except admits that John E. Potter is the Postmaster General of the United States Postal Service, and avers that the United States Postal Service in an independent establishment of the Executive Branch of the Federal Government pursuant to 39 U.S.C. § 201. Defendant admits the allegations contained in the second sentence of Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint.
- 14. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint.
- 15. Defendant admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.
- 16. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint.
- 17. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.
  - 18. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Amended

Complaint in that they are Plaintiff's characterization of the "Pay for Performance" program, but admits that the Postal Service instituted a "Pay for Performance" program.

- 19. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the AmendedComplaint.
- 20. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint.
- 21. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint.
- 22. The responses contained in Paragraphs 1-21 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint.
- 24. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Amended Complaint.
- 25. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint.
- 26. The responses contained in Paragraphs 1-25 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint.
- 28. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint.
  - 29. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of the Amended

Complaint.

30. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.

#### <u>DEFENSES</u>

## **FIRST DEFENSE**

Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## **SECOND DEFENSE**

The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

#### THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by applicable statutes of limitation.

# **FOURTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff failed to timely exhaust all available administrative remedies.

#### FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff did not constitute prohibited discrimination on any basis.

## SIXTH DEFENSE

Actions taken by the Defendant, his agents and his employees, were motivated by legitimate, nondiscriminatory reasons, which were not pretextual.

#### **SEVENTH DEFENSE**

All actions taken by Defendants were taken in good faith, and were not in willful violation of the law.

### **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate her damages.

#### **NINTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages.

#### **TENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff is not entitled to a jury trial for her claims under the Equal Pay Act.

#### **ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

Defendant's actions were the result of a lawful merit system.

## TWELFTH DEFENSE

Defendant's actions were the result of a system which measures earnings by quantity or quality of production.

# THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant's actions were a result of a lawful seniority system.

WHEREFORE, Defendant John E. Potter, Postmaster General, United States Postal Service, having fully answered the allegations in the Amended Complaint and set forth his defenses, respectfully requests this Court to enter judgment in favor of Defendant, dismissing the Amended Complaint, awarding Defendant his costs for the defense of the action, and awarding him such other relief as this Court considers just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York November 12, 2007

> MICHAEL J. GARCIA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York

By: /s/ John D. Clopper

JOHN D. CLOPPER

Assistant United States Attorney

86 Chambers Street

New York, New York 10007 Telephone: (212) 637-2716 Facsimile: (212) 637-0033 Email: john.clopper@usdoj.gov

TO: Doris Traub Traub & Traub, PC 39 Broadway, Suite 2420 New York, NY 10006 Counsel for Plaintiff